

TimeValueRisk

Score	Criteria	Score	Criteria	Score	Criteria
5	New organisation (1 st ever application) with new project	5	Significant benefit to residents, businesses and visitors to Tavistock as a whole	10	Severe risk to refuse grant i.e. refusal would have substantial detrimental impact on the community as a whole. There would be an increased burden on other organisations to provide the same project/offering and it would be likely to have greater cost (e.g. the withdrawal of the Citizen's Advice facility in Tavistock)
4	Existing organisation (have previously applied) but application for a new project, but has not received grant funding for the previous 3 consecutive years	4	Medium benefit to residents, businesses and visitors to Tavistock as a whole	8	High risk to refuse grant i.e. the project/offering could be supplied by another organisation with some cost implication to them
3	Existing organisation (has applied previously but without success for same or different project) in the previous 5 years	3	Limited benefit to residents, businesses and visitors to Tavistock as a whole	3	Medium risk to refuse grant i.e. project/offering could still be supplied by applicant organisation but would have some detriment to what is offered by them and with some cost implication to them
2	Existing project (previous application received for same project) in the previous 5 years (either successful or unsuccessful)	2	Little benefit to residents, businesses and visitors to Tavistock as a whole	2	Slight risk to refuse grant i.e. the application is refused. However, another organisation could supply/already supplies the project/offering with little or no additional cost to them
0	Existing organisation/project (has applied previously) and has been successful for 3 consecutive years	1	Targeted benefit to the applying organisation i.e. a benefit only to the organisation's members and of no benefit to the community as a whole	1	Low risk to refuse grant i.e. project/offering could still be supplied by applicant organisation without detriment to what is offered by them or at any additional cost
		0	No benefit to any sector at all	0	No risk to refuse grant i.e. refusal would have little or no impact to the community or applicant organisation if project/offering not supported or delivered

PROCESS FOR DETERMINING WHICH ORGANISATIONS/INDIVIDUALS RECEIVE A GRANT

1. The ATTC provides all members of the Budget & Policy Committee (B&P) with full copies of the Grant Applications, and a scoring matrix (other Councillors will have access to such information in the office, as currently) two weeks before the Budget & Policy Meeting (deadline for submissions may need to be amended, if necessary)
2. Members of B&P send their scored matrixes to the ATTC at least 36 hours prior to the B&P Meeting to allow for the collation of scores
3. The ATTC will assess the scores provided for each application and will add these scores to the table below, sorting from the highest to the lowest scoring applications, i.e. in a descending order
4. The final scoring matrix will be assessed by members of B&P at the Meeting, with recommendations then being made to Full Council for a decision. B&P members will recommend both the acceptance/refusal of applications, plus where successful, the amount to be granted
5. **N.B.** Organisations/individuals receiving an overall score of 5 or less will not be eligible for a grant

Organisation	Overall score	Amount requested	Amount allocated
Example; Tiny Tots Toddler Group	36	£ 2,500	